

# Shape Sensing with Fiber Bragg Grating Sensors: A Realistic Model of Curvature Interpolation for Shape Reconstruction

Sonja Jäckle, Jan Strehlow, Stefan Heldmann

## MOTIVATION



- Fiber Bragg Gratings (FBG) are reflectors which are constructed as short segments of an optical fiber and are able to reflect a specific Bragg-wavelength. Strain and temperature change cause a wavelength shift.
- By combining several FBGs into an array and by placing multiple arrays along a medical instrument (e.g. needle, catheter) its shape can be reconstructed by so-called shape sensing [1,2].
- In contrast to existing approaches, we take the physical extent of FBG into account. We consider measurements as a spatial average rather than pointwise values for a more realistic estimation of curvature and finally more accurate shape reconstruction.

## SHAPE SENSING

- Fiber system of length *L* with *n* FBG arrays, each containing 3 FBGs in a triplet configuration and 1 FBG at the center and width length *l* and center-to-center distance *d*.
- Workflow:



# REFERENCES

- Park YL, Elayaperumal S, Daniel B, et al. Real-time estimation of 3-D needle shape and deflection for MRI-guided interventions. IEEE ASME Trans Mechatron. 2010; 15(6):906–915.
- [2] Roesthuis RJ, Kemp M, van den Dobbelsteen JJ, et al. Three-dimensional needle shape reconstruction using an array of fiber bragg grating sensors. IEEE ASME Trans Mechatron. 2014;19(4):1115-1126.
- [3] Jäckle, S., Strehlow, J., Heldmann, S.: Shape sensing with fiber bragg grating sensors. In: Bildverarbeitung für die Medizin 2019, pp. 258{263. Springer (2019)

# **NEW INTERPOLATION MODEL**

- Idea: *n* FBGs with length *l*, center at  $t_i := t_0 + d \cdot i \in [\frac{l}{2}, L \frac{l}{2}]$  and distance  $d = |t_{i+1} - t_i|$ .
- Assumption: measured curvature is averaged curvature

$$C_{i} = \frac{1}{l} \int_{t_{i} - \frac{l}{2}}^{t_{i} + \frac{l}{2}} c(t) dt$$

• Curvature function:  $c(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} w_j S_j(t)$  with cubic b-splines  $S_j(t)$  centered at the *j*th FBG sensor.

### RESULTS

- Distances:  $d_{avg} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i x_i^{gt}||_2$ ,  $d_{tip} = ||x_n x_n^{gt}||_2$
- Simulation study: shape distance to ground truth (in mm)



• Experiment study: shape distance to ground truth (in mm)

Shape	Distance	Nearest Neighbor	Cubic	Proposed
Arc	$d_{avg}$	1.71	1.53	1.53
	$d_{tip}$	3.37	2.84	2.66
S-curve	$d_{avg}$	0.95	0.86	0.47
	$d_{tip}$	2.18	2.04	1.10



### CONCLUSION

- Our proposed method yields smaller reconstruction errors than the compared state-of-the art reference methods.
- In the next step the idea will be used for strain interpolation.
- Interpolation is one error source of the shape sensing workflow. Thus, the other calculation steps will be analyzed and optimized.

# CONTACT

Sonja Jäckle, sonja.jaeckle@mevis.fraunhofer.de

